HPS&ST NEWSLETTER

HPS&ST NEWSLETTER

MARCH 2022

The HPS&ST NEWSLETTER is emailed monthly to about 9,800 individuals who directly or indirectly have an interest in the contribution of history and philosophy of science to theoretical, curricular and pedagogical issues in science teaching, and/or interests in the promotion of innovative, engaging and effective teaching of the history and philosophy of science. The NEWSLETTER is sent on to different international and national HPS lists and international and national science teaching lists. In print or electronic form, it has been published for 40+ years.

The NEWSLETTER seeks to serve the diverse international community of HPS&ST scholars and teachers by disseminating information about events and publications that connect to concerns of the HPS&ST community.

Contributions to the NEWSLETTER (publications, conferences, opinion pieces, &.) are welcome and should be sent direct to the editor: Michael R. Matthews, UNSW (m.matthews@unsw.edu.au).

The NEWSLETTER, along with RESOURCES, OBITUARIES, OPINION PIECES and more, are available at the website: http://www.hpsst.com/

ISSN: 2652-2837

CONTENTS

Russian Invasion of Ukraine

Countless organisations around the world have expressed formal dismay at the tragedy unfolding in the Russian invasion of Ukraine.

Division of the History of Science and Technology (DHST)

The president, officers, and undersigned council members of the Division of the History of Science and Technology (DHST) of the International Union for the History and Philosophy of Science and Technology condemn the ongoing military actions against Ukraine directed by the government of the Russian Federation.

Our deepest concern and sympathy go to our Ukrainian colleagues and all the people bearing the grim consequences of this war, and also to colleagues elsewhere who are suffering in silence or risking their lives to speak up. We know that in addition to the unacceptable loss of human life, wars devastate libraries, schools, universities, and all kinds of cultural institutions that are the material remnants of a people's history and right to education.

As an organisation that traces its origins to the vision of scholars who experienced first-hand the tragedy of two World Wars, the DHST is acutely aware that freedom of education, culture, and research are among the many rights presently being violated. We stand in solidarity with the people of Ukraine and our fellow scholars on both sides who are struggling to maintain their lives, livelihoods, and scholarship.

Marcos Cueto, Janet Browne, Hasok Chang, Liesbeth De Mol, Thoms Háddad, Takehiko Hashimoto, Xingbo Luo, Jahnavi Phalkey, Maria Rentetzi, Milada Sekyrková, Hamish Spencer.

European Society for the History of Science

We, the members of the Scientific Board of the ESHS, are greatly concerned about the escalating war in Ukraine. As an academic society committed to the values of reason and tolerance, we strongly believe that dialogue and diplomacy are the only legitimate means of resolving divergences among nations. Invading another country should never be an acceptable means of addressing concerns a country may have.

We stand, together with other academic societies and scholarly organisations, and the academic world at large, in support of the suffering people of Ukraine. And we salute those courageous people in Russia, including some of our society members, who are protesting the military aggression of its government. The ESHS will do its best to alleviate the negative academic implications this conflict will have on the professional life of its members and will always strive for a plural and diversified community of historians of science.

US History of Science Society

The History of Science Society staunchly endorses the statement of the European Society for the History of Science on the Ukraine Crisis. We share the position and views expressed in their statement, and we support our members and other colleagues whose lives and careers have been impacted by the event.

The above sentiments will be shared by most readers of this Newsletter, and most people in the civilised world.

HPS&ST at NARST Conference, 27-30 March 2022, Vancouver

Symposium: Honouring the Legacy of Dr. Norman Lederman

Valarie L. Akerson, Indiana University
Judith S Lederman, Illinois Institute of Technology
Dana L Zeidler, University of South Florida
Renee Schwartz, Georgia State University
Fouad Abd-El-Khalick, University Of North Carolina At Chapel Hill

There are many other HPS&ST papers and symposia being presented in **Strand 13 History, Philosophy, Sociology, and Nature of Science** of the conference.
Full programme is available here.

Further details can be obtained from Strand 13 Coordinator Gunkut Mesci here.

The 30th Baltic Conference on the History and Philosophy of Science University of Oulu, Finland, 9-11 June 2022 *The Book in History and Philosophy of Science, Technology and Medicine*

For centuries, books have been the core element at the heart of learning. The evolution of this cultural entity is the theme of the 30th Baltic Conference on History and Philosophy of Science, Technology and Medicine. In this Anniversary conference, we will discuss the past, present and future of the book, and its´ role in the transmission of knowledge, ideas and values in all the fields of Philosophy, Science, Technology and Medicine.

We welcome submissions relating to the conference theme in its broadest sense.

The conference will be organised as a hybrid conference via Zoom. The venue of the in-person part of the conference is at the University of Oulu, on the North-East coast of our Baltic Sea. You are invited to submit your abstract (maximum 300 words) by 31 March 2022 as a pdf-file to the address: BAHPS2022@gmail.com.

For more info please check conference website at https://www.bahps.org or direct any further inquiries to BAHPS2022@gmail.com. Maija Kallinen Head of the Local Organising Committee

Royal Society and US Academy of Sciences Biographical Memoirs

The Royal Society is a learned society and the United Kingdom's national academy of sciences. Since 1932, the Royal Society has been publishing extended obituaries of its Fellows and Foreign Members. *The Biographical Memoirs* are recognised as definitive accounts of the life and work of these eminent scientists, providing a valuable resource for both scientists and historians of science.

Each memoir is carefully researched and creatively written, usually by a close colleague or research collaborator. The main focus is the science and scientific endeavour, but the memoirs also offer a fascinating insight into the character and personalities of the individuals involved. Readers can discover how the science was achieved within the historical context, and follow the development of

specific scientific disciplines and fields of research.

These memoirs provide a rich and unique resource to supplement undergraduate and post-graduate teaching and seminars. Students will benefit from understanding how their research field has developed, and be inspired by the stories of those who have succeeded before.

Royal Society Biographies

US National Academy of Sciences Biographical Memoirs

http://www.nasonline.org/publications/biographical-memoirs/

University of Leeds, History & Philosophy of Science Online Seminar Series, Spring 2022

Wednesdays 3.15-5pm GMT (except on May 11th)

April 27 Fati Fan (Binghampton) 'All Eyes, All Ears, All the Time: Environmental Monitoring, Sensory Experience, and Political Epistemology in Communist China and Beyond'

May 11 Chris Lean (Sydney), 'The future role of synthetic biology in conservation' NB at 11 am GMT

Join us on Zoom for these seminars here.

For further information, please contact the Director of the Leeds HPS Centre, Dr Ellen Clarke: e.clarke@leeds.ac.uk.

Division of History of Chemistry of the American Chemical Society Centenary Publication

The Division of History of Chemistry of the American Chemical Society is celebrating its centenary. Just recently, an entire issue of the *Bulletin for the History of Chemistry* appeared as a special issue. There are 19 articles written by many leaders in the history of chemistry, including historians such as Alan Rocke, Peter Morris, Bill Brock, and Tony Travis; and many chemist-historians; and the current president of the Science History Institute.

Open access to a pdf of the entire issue can be found here

Voting for the IHPST Council (2022-2024)

The members of the International History, Philosophy, and Science Teaching Group will vote for the new IHPST Council (2022-2024) in April. The positions include President-Elect, Secretary, Treasurer, Director (2), Teacher Representative, Student Representative, Nominating Committee Member (4).

Candidates listed in alphabetical order for each position may be found here.

HPS&ST in Latin America

- The Revista Brasileira de História da Ciência (Brazilian Journal of History of Science) is calling for papers for a special issue on HPS&ST: *História da Ciência para uma Educação em Ciências do futuro*; La Historia de la Ciencia para una Educación Científica del Futuro; The History of Science for a Science Education of the Future (to access the Spanish and English versions, click in the language option at the right side of the webpage). The journal accepts submissions in Portuguese, Spanish and English.

- Charbel El-Hani and Cláudio R. M. Reis, who teach History and Philosophy of Biology at the Federal University of Bahia, Brazil, have begun sharing classes from a course they offer to undergraduate students. These are short and basic classes on central issues in History and Philosophy of Science and Biology, with English subtitles.
  The first one can be found here.
  And at the resources folder of the HPSST website.

- Events

  XX IOSTE Symposium (International Organization for Science and Technology Education) will be held in Federal University of Pernambuco and Mar Hotel Conventions, Recife, Brazil, from July 25th to 29th. The theme of the event will be 'Esperançar in uncertain times: the role of science and technology education in/for a changing world', an allusion to Paulo Freire's concept of Esperança ('hope'). The event presents a special strand for submissions of HPS&ST field. Information is available here.

- Publications

  Guereschi, A. B., Martino, R. D., Ramos, V.A. (2021). *La Mujer en la Geología*. Buenos Aires: Asociación Geológica Argentina.

  This is a special publication on Women in Geology where the authors have rescued the history of valuable women from the 19th and 20th centuries. Its 17 chapters show outstanding women and their contributions in a world of men.

  Ramos, V. A. (2022). Los inicios de la enseñanza de la geología en Latinoamérica. *Maya: Revista de Geociencias*. Issue 13- February, 2022. P.48-56.

  Raicik, A. C., Peduzzi, L.O.Q. (2021) De Mach ao 'novo experimentalismo' um resgate histórico-epistemológico de experimentos de pensamento. *Revista Brasileira de História da Ciência*. V.14.n.2. p.209-234.

  Simão, M.M., Paranhos, R.D, Guimarães, S.S.M. As formas de pensar a vida como objeto de estudo primordial da biologia. *Revista Brasileira de História da Ciência*, V.14. n.2. p-235-251.

  Leal K., Forato, T. C. M. As garotas do rádio e sua busca por justiça e dignidade possibilidades de abordagens históricas para o ensino de ciências. *Revista Brasileira de História da Ciência*, V.14., n.2, p. 252-275.

  Lima, N. W. (2022). Como estruturar uma aula que faça sentido?. *Porto Alegre: edição propria*.

Do you have any contributions about HPS&ST in Latin America?

If you have any information about events, publications, research groups, books about HPS&ST in Latin American and want to submit a brief note to be published in the HPS&ST Newsletter, please contact first Nathan Lima here or secondly Michael Matthews here.

East European Network for Philosophy of Science (EENPS) 2022 Conference

The East European Network for Philosophy of Science (EENPS), in co-operation with the Institute of Philosophy and Semiotics, University of Tartu, announces the fourth conference of East European Network for Philosophy of Science in Tartu on 17-19 August 2022.

Keynote Speakers
Helen E. Longino, C.I. Lewis Professor, emerita (Stanford University)
Tarja Tellervo Knuuttila (University of Vienna)
Lukáš Bielik (Comenius University Bratislava)

Please submit your abstracts and proposals for symposia via EasyChair by **31 March 2022**.

Call for Contributed Papers

Authors are invited to submit extended abstracts (500-1000 words) + short abstracts (up to 100 words) as a .pdf file prepared for blind review through EasyChair. The abstracts should be headed by the title of the corresponding section (a – f), the title of the paper, and 3 to 5 keywords.

Local Organising Committee

Endla Lõhkivi (Chair), Jaana Eigi, Riin Kõiv, Kristin Kokkov, Eveli Neemre, Katrin Velbaum (all University of Tartu), Peeter Müürsepp (Tallinn University of Technology)

Programme Committee Chairs Sorin Bangu (University of Bergen) and Vlasta Sikimić (University of Tübingen)

Opinion Piece: Indigenous Science and the Science Curriculum: The New Zealand Debate

Michael R. Matthews, School of Education, UNSW, Sydney, Australia.

Michael R. Matthews is an honorary associate professor in the School of Education at the University of New South Wales. He has published extensively in the fields of philosophy of education, history and philosophy of science, and science education. He was Foundation Editor (1990-2015) of the Springer journal *Science & Education: Contributions from the History and Philosophy of Science*. His publications include: seven books, 50 articles, 40 book chapters, and 10 edited anthologies and handbooks.

Publications here.
A pen-picture here.

Everywhere there is rightful concern to understand the relationship between on the one hand indigenous knowledge systems (IKS) and traditional ecological knowledge (TEK) and on the other orthodox 'Western' science. And, especially, how to address this relationship in education. More specifically: Should indigenous, or cultural, knowledge about the natural world be taught *inside* science programmes or *alongside* them in separate social science, geography, religion, or cultural studies programmes? In the learning of an ethnoscience, there are gains, but also pains. This should be acknowledged by all. No one should underestimate the difficulty and time involved in seriously learning any ethnoscience. Trite learning comes cheap but has minimal cultural or personal benefit.

If mentioned, inside science programmes, teachers could elicit, or introduce, local indigenous understandings of some events or processes, and then progressively show scientific explanations of the same. In this latter option, IKS and TEK basically serve *instrumental* purposes in the teaching of science; they are not in the curriculum on their own *intrinsic* account but are there to serve another purpose. For instance, the comparing and contrasting of indigenous knowledge and scientific knowledge is a way of elucidating the nature of science (NOS) curriculum topic (McComas 2020). A great deal hinges on the difference between instrumental and intrinsic incorporation of indigenous science.

In New Zealand, in the middle of 2021, a large public and national debate erupted over the correct, and incorrect, aligning of traditional Māori knowledge (Mātauranga Māori) and science. The Royal Society New Zealand was asked to take 'grave action' against three esteemed Society Fellows on account of the instrumentalist views they expressed on the matter in a national magazine.

In 2019 an Opinion Piece in this NEWSLETTER – 'The Defence of Science and the Status of Māori Knowledge' – written by Auckland professors Michael Corballis, Elizabeth Rata and Robert Nola, surveyed the NZ educational and cultural landscape prior to the current controversy. They argued:

> while Mātauranga Māori has much to offer in terms of culture and values, it also subverts those aspects of science – namely objectivity, universality, and dedication to progress – that can further advance the understanding of nature and help find solutions to the major problems afflicting the planet.

As New Zealand is a relatively small country, with a population of 5 million (much the same as Norway), about one-fifth of whom are Māori, it is easy for educational, philosophical and political debate about school curricula to become public and national.

Initial Engagement with Indigenous Science Debate

I first encountered the issues thirty years ago, when in early 1992, as the newly appointed Foundation Professor of Science Education at the University of Auckland, I attended my first University Faculty meeting. A motion before the meeting was to allow completion of the Anthropology Department's 'Māori Knowledge' (Mātauranga Māori) course to count as meeting the decades-old 'one science course' requirement for students enrolled in the University's Primary Education degree. One statement of the case had been made by Graham Smith, a Māori educator and later an influential professor:

> There is a need to struggle to assert the equal validity of Māori knowledge and frameworks and conversely to critically engage ideologies which reify Western knowledge (science) as being superior, more scientific, and therefore more legitimate. (Smith 1992, p.7)

I spoke against the motion saying, among other things, that Māori Knowledge was not science. I said there were good national and cultural grounds for making the anthropology course compulsory. There might also be legal grounds anchored in the 1840 Treaty of Waitangi, signed between the British Crown and the Māori chiefs, that required the new government to 'maintain and support' Māori culture. But, nevertheless, the 'one science subject' requirement should be retained. My arguments failed to convince. The motion was passed: New Zealand primary teachers, at least Auckland-trained ones, could thereafter happily teach with zero orthodox or Western scientific knowledge.

I was dismayed by this decision but should not have been surprised. The decision was just part of a general turn against science in New Zealand education circles; a turn that had been going on for a decade or more. The 'equivalence doctrine' had growing support in schools, in universities, in politics, and in the state bureaucracy. The powerful, Waikato University-based constructivist group in New Zealand education were the strongest supporters of equivalence. Additionally, the Kuhn-inspired constructivist side of the 'Science Wars', being waged in philosophy and HPS departments through the 1970s and '80s, provided seemingly powerful arguments for their cause (Brown 2001). Notions of constructivism, theory dependence, paradigms, incommensurability, multi-worlds, and much else of the same kind, were everywhere in the educational, philosophical, and social science landscapes. All of this prepared the ground for 'alternative facts' which were, with Donald Trump and Vladimir Putin, coming around the corner.

An explicitly constructivist Draft National School Science Curriculum bringing Mātauranga Māori into the New Zealand curriculum had been written and circulated in the 1980s. The writing was overseen by Beverley Bell of Waikato University (Bell 1990, 1991). She wrote: 'my promotion of the constructivist view of learning and of the curriculum is well known' (Bell 1986, p.9). The Draft engendered much debate. Warwick Don (1933-2014), a senior lecturer in Zoology at the University of Otago, spoke for many scientists and traditional educators when he wrote:

> …science is conducted irrespective of the cultural milieu of its participants. The recognition of any 'cultural context' in a science syllabus only introduces an irrelevance which will inevitably distort and could even destroy the very fabric of science education. Lysenkoism
>
> $in the former$ USSR
>
> and 'scientific creationism' graphically illustrate what can happen when non-scientific ingredients permeate the process. (Don, 1989)

And:

> It is most regrettable that for the sake of satisfying certain political or social requirements, the integrity of science education at Forms 1-5 level is being compromised. An aim of science education is surely to produce an informed student body, not add to the high level of misunderstanding already rife in the community where science is concerned. (Don, 1989)

Advocates of history and philosophy in science teaching decidedly do not follow Don in asserting that cultural context is irrelevant either to the progress of science or to the enlightened learning of science. It is crucial for both (Matthews 2015). For example, there were elements in the broad culture of Europe in the sixteenth century that enabled the blossoming of modern science and there were elements in the broad culture of China at the same time that inhibited science. It is illuminating for teachers and students to appreciate this. Philosophy and history deepens scientific learning; they do not distract from it; history, philosophy, and political economy can contribute to exposing corruptions of science and of scientists.

Jack Dodd (1922-2005), the then national president of the Royal Society New Zealand (RSNZ) and internationally known quantum physicist (Dodd 1991), said in a statement to the Society, that:

> Parts of the draft can only be written by people who do not understand what science is, let alone what science is about …there are patronising remarks about Māori and girls …science is universal. The observations and laws are the same whether you live here or on the moon …whether you are male or female, rich or poor, Jew or Gentile, black or white, Māori or Pakeha [non-Māori], whether European or Asian. (In Dobson, 1989)

When I arrived in New Zealand in 1992 the debate was on-going, but not very public; it was conducted through official government and university channels. After the 1992 Faculty endorsement of the Equivalence thesis, I became an energetic contributor to the debate, moving it into the public domain: Giving public lectures up and down the country, radio interviews, and writing newspaper opinion-pieces. In a lengthy, and much-reproduced, Opinion Piece for the New Zealand Herald (26 August 1993), I said, in part, that:

> Science in the National Curriculum identifies scientific thinking as: being curious, being creative, having hunches, clarifying ideas and feelings, thinking about your own thinking. All of these traits are laudable, but they are not uniquely scientific. The national curriculum document holds that scientific thinking is anything but knowing science, anything but mastering the special conceptual tools of science. (Matthews 1995, p.13)

Concluding:

> Science, and science education, can play a powerful role in the general improvement of culture. But it can only do this if it is seen as seeking the truth about the world, and as being partially successful in its efforts. It can play its much-needed role if it inculcates an attitude of humility before the world: The world judges our claims to knowledge of it, we cannot just construct whatever suits our fancy, our interests, or our culture, and call it knowledge. (Matthews 1995, p.14)

I subsequently published a book documenting and appraising the cultural, political, educational, and philosophical underpinnings of the affair (Matthews 1995).



National Embrace of Mātauranga Māori

In 1994 I returned to the University of New South Wales. Meanwhile, the under currents of the debate flowed on unabated, indeed were strengthened. Mātauranga Māori was formally introduced in the government's Vision Mātauranga policy in 2005. Vision Mātauranga is now deeply embedded in New Zealand's research institutions. The Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment (MBIE) includes Mātauranga Māori among its investment priority areas, as do the Crown Research Institutes.

Michael Corballis (1936-2021) pointed out that the case for incorporating Mātauranga Māori (MM) into New Zealand science gained extra impetus late in 2019 with two publications in prominent New Zealand science journals. One was a supplement of the *Journal of the Royal Society of New Zealand* entitled "Ngā Ahua o te Ao Hurihuri - Rethinking our shared futures," and the other a special issue of the *New Zealand Science Review* entitled "Mātauranga and Science." The latter includes a proposal entitled "Towards building an indigenous science tertiary curriculum," with 16 co-authors, among whom 13 claim tribal affiliation (Jackson et al., 2019). This is a blueprint for the re-focusing and development of New Zealand science faculties.

Researchers seeking funding, for example to the Royal Society Marsden Fund, must state how they will include Mātauranga Māori in their research. There are Australian and Canadian equivalents of this 'incorporation' policy. A 2020 advertisement for a lecturer in Zoology at Otago University required:

> Advancing Mātauranga Māori/Te Ao Māori perspectives in the study of Zoology with the position affording an exciting opportunity for an emerging scholar to research and teach from a kaupapa Māori perspective (Corballis, Rata & Nola 2020).

A comparable advertisement in a state university in the US giving preference for someone who could incorporate Creationist Science into their biology classes would draw wide philosophical, educational, and political outrage. And this notwithstanding that 30+ percent of citizens believe in special creation.

There has been a strong and successful campaign to have Māori knowledge, specifically science, incorporated into the National Certificate of Educational Attainment (NCEA) at all school levels (Hikuroa 2017). A 2015 article on 'Mātauranga Māori and the Future of NZ Science' published in The Journal of the Royal Society of New Zealand, and that has had 15,000+ views, was explicit regarding the autonomy or equal status of Māori Science:

> Although there will be opportunities to work together, that is not the goal of revitalising mātauranga. The goal is not partnership; it is tino rangatiratanga and instituting mātauranga as a primary and independent knowledge system. …We are calling for Western academics to support mātauranga revitalisation, with the vision of two functional knowledge systems operating that are unique to New Zealand. (Broughton & McBreen, 2015, pp.83, 86)

A Māori science educator pushed this position to its logical limits:

> Since Māori knowledge includes 'the gods' or knowledge of spiritual realms, while science does not, I drew [for a class] a diagram in which Mātauranga Māori is a large circle, and science is a smaller circle inside it. This differs from the more typical 'Venn diagram' model with two intersecting circles used to show the overlap between science and Māori knowledge (Roberts 1998; Simon 2003). The benefit of my 'superset' model of the relationship between science and mātauranga Māori is that it makes all of science, not only in some domains such as ecology, relevant to Māori and Māori school students. (Stewart, 2019, p.66)

This might sound nice and inclusive, but it is at the cost of missed learning opportunities: A great deal of scientific method, epistemology and ontology is inconsistent with Mātauranga Māori. Open debate, public criticism, provisional acceptance of institutionalised authority, inclusive participation – are the hallmarks of science, as Robert Merton classically stated the matter (Merton 1942/1973). To sweep this under the 'inclusive' carpet with a Venn diagram having 'science' within 'MM' means that serious, critical learning of neither will occur.

Tane Mahuta

For example, currently the magnificent kauri trees of New Zealand are being destroyed by microscopic fungi infecting their root systems and causing widespread kauri dieback. The trees figure powerfully in Māori creation stories. It is said of the largest tree, named Tāne Mahuta and thought to be 2,000+ years old, that at its birth God opened out the sky so as to give it room to grow. It is more than legitimate to consider the role of kauri forests in Māori culture when making decisions about the allocation of university biological/botanical research money. There may be trade-offs about priority of Māori culture versus the economic returns from curing riesling vineyard diseases in the upper South Island. These are normal and legitimate decisions.

Kauri dieback

It needs be acknowledged that too often the latter considerations took precedence over the former. Nick Waipara, a scientist who specialises in kauri dieback, said that the competitive system for scientific funding had directed money toward the priorities of non-Māori researchers. For a decade, work on the disease was "problematic, underfunded, piecemeal and ad hoc". The lag had devastating consequences. "I've seen with my own eyes, when we've been doing long-term monitoring of plots, places where in some years we haven't found a single seedling that was alive," Waipara said.

But once research has been decided upon and funded, understanding, and hopefully curing the dieback, will be a scientific matter. Ideally with maximum Māori participation at all stages, including the research itself. Introducing MM-based hypotheses or evidence, will be a distraction, if not a corruption of the science.

Such acceptance of Māori science as being science is now just part of mainstream New Zealand thinking, from primary school, through secondary school, all the way up to university departments. It is seldom publicly remarked upon, much less publicly debated or contested. The government's *Education and Training Act 2020* mandates that Boards of Trustees of all schools must allocate equal priority to 'giving effect to Te Tiriti o Waitangi'. Schools must ensure their curriculum reflects local tikanga Māori and mātauranga Māori. The University of Waikato's *Strategy 2022-2024* document lays out its Strategic Priorities:

1. Embed mātauranga Māori into teaching, learning and the curriculum.

2. Ensure that academic appointment, advancement and promotion processes require staff to reflect on their engagement with mātauranga Māori,

3. Provide support and opportunities for staff to engage with matauranga Māori within their areas of academic expertise, and to ensure that matauranga Māori is embedded as part of the curriculum.

Other New Zealand universities have, or are producing, comparable statements. The crucial cultural and philosophical issue is whether 'engagement with' allows 'criticism of'. There are disturbing signs that it does not so allow.

The Health of NZ Scientific and Humanities Research

In 2021 the Ministry of Business Innovation and Employment (MBIE) released a draft *Research, Science and Innovation* plan saying:

> more work needs to be done to explore how the research system can best uphold Te Tiriti [Treaty of Waitangi] obligations and opportunities. We aim to reimagine how to give life to Māori research aspirations, and create better ways to enable and protect mātauranga Māori. …Open and genuine engagement with Māori will be vitally important to the development of a research system that gives effect to Te Tiriti. (p.4)

If engagement is to be seriously open and genuine then it must allow criticism. This policy document does not. The feedback that it asks for is:

> What are your thoughts on how to enable and protect mātauranga Māori in the research system? (p.5)

The Māori creation story of the primal couple Rangi, the sky father, and Papa, the earth mother, and their subsequent 70 children is widely read and elaborated. It has significant cultural value. But it is just a story. It is a myth. It is no different from the Creation Stories in thousands of other cultures in which most connect their present selves to the Creation. Is the MBIE expecting this story to be protected and beyond examination? The genocide of the Moriori people on Chatham Island in the 1860s was a dark chapter in Māori history. There are serious historical questions about what happened and why (Piper 2012). These need to be addressed and answered without consideration of the impact on mātauranga Māori. In one exhibit on the Moriori, in a major museum, the genocide did not figure. Presumably because the story would be disturbing.

The NZ national research programme is moving inexorably into the non-scientific camp: Enabling and protecting any ideological system is not part of science. The near-national lack of comment and public debate on this matter is noteworthy. It is the new normal. The situation for NZ research is akin to the poor frog in slowly heating water: They are not aware of what is happening till it is too late. That 'no criticism here' is also the disturbing normal in other illiberal countries should cause a pause and rethinking of the policy in NZ.

In Pakistan, Turkey, Indonesia, Afghanistan and other Muslim countries, advertisements seeking staff who can incorporate Koranic Science into their classes are also not remarked upon. Nor, in these Muslim countries, are university policies embedding promotion of Islamic faith into university strategies. Equally un-remarked upon, at least publicly, is the situation in China where completion of a course on Marxist Dialectics of Nature is a part of all higher degrees, and where university professorships are devoted to teaching this subject.

In India, the Hinduising of Indian science has progressed at full steam under the Bharatiya Janata Party (BJP) national government of Narendra Modi, and in the 17 state governments where the BJP is in power. In 2018, India's Minister for Higher Education, Satyapal Singh, claimed Darwin's theory of evolution was wrong and vowed to change the national school curriculum so as to avoid spreading the falsehood. At the 2019 Indian Science Congress, G. Nageshwar Rao, the vice-chancellor of Andhra University, claimed that stem-cell research was conducted in India thousands of years ago: 'We had 100 Kauravas from one mother because of stem cell and test tube technology'. At the same congress, a professor of inorganic chemistry asserted that: 'Hindu Lord Vishnu used guided missiles known as 'Vishnu Chakra' and chased moving targets'.

To the credit of Indian scientists, there has been push-back against these claims. The claims are plainly ridiculous, though making this value judgement does depend on accepting that there is some truth of the matter; and that not all accounts of any matter are equally true or radically incommensurable. Without such realist assumptions, evaluative scientific, or indeed ethical, judgements are impossible. In a 'Post-Truth' world (McIntyre 2018) where Donald Trump's spokesperson talked glibly of 'alternative facts' – these consequences are on 24/7 display. This well prepares the ground

for the Russian bombing of a Mariupul Maternity Hospital being described by President Putin's spokesperson as an 'inside job'. If there are no facts of the matter, why cannot it be an inside job?

The only people consistently objecting to Christian Science, Islamic Science, Hindu Science or Marxist Science being made part of a nation's science programme are liberals who believe that culture and politics should be kept out of scientific theorising, decision making and teaching. Culture and politics can rightly, to a point, influence research directions and research funding, but not scientific theorising and theory testing. The examples of Franco's Spain, Mao's China, Hitler's Germany and Stalin's Soviet Union, to say nothing of contemporary examples of the corruption of science in Big Pharma, Big Petro, and Big Tobacco research–should give pause to anyone thinking it is good for science to serve social or cultural interest at the expense of truth. When there is a clash, the latter must prevail. This surely was the lesson of the Enlightenment (Matthews 2015, chap.2).

Historians and philosophers of science used argue the liberal case for keeping politics out of scientific decision making, but with the rise of constructivism and Kuhn-inspired anti-realism, their voices are less heard.

The 2021 Controversy

In New Zealand, this issue came to national, and then international, attention in mid-2021 when seven University of Auckland professors – Kendall Clements (Biology), Garth Cooper (Biology), Michael Corballis (Psychology), Douglas Eliffe (Psychology), Robert Nola (Philosophy), Elizabeth Rata (Education) and John Werry (Medicine) – published, on 23 July 2021, a 400 word 'Defence of Science' letter in the popular *New Zealand Listener* weekly magazine. They were moved by the seemingly inexorable incorporation of MM into the National Certificate of Educational Attainment, and its consequences for the teaching of science in universities. A fuller and more routinely academic version of the letter had earlier been rejected by the Royal Society New Zealand (RSNZ) journal. The *Listener* version, among other things, maintained:

> Indigenous knowledge is critical for the preservation and perpetuation of culture and local practices, and plays key roles in management and policy. However, in the discovery of empirical, universal truths, it falls far short of what we can define as science itself.
>
> To accept it as the equivalent of science is to patronise and fail indigenous populations; better to ensure that everyone participates in the world's scientific enterprises. Indigenous knowledge may indeed help advance scientific knowledge in some ways, but it is not science. (Nola et al 2021)

Within four days of publication, the letter and its writers were condemned by the New Zealand Association of Scientists who, among other things, claimed:

> No useful science can be done in a vacuum, artificially disconnected from its context. We cannot make meaningful progress on doing better science now and in the future if we allow the continued treatment of mātauranga as unproven or lesser by Pākehā and other Western scientists. Instead, we acknowledge its equal importance and role in scientific work.

Within two weeks of its publication, an Open Letter (petition) 'Against the Professors' was penned

by professors Shaun Hendy (Physics, University of Auckland) and Siouxsie Wiles (Biology, University of Auckland). It was circulated and signed by 2,000+ academics, school principals, teachers and graduate students. The signatories included 250 New Zealand professors and associate professors. The number of signatories garnered in such short time is no small thing given there are just eight universities in the country, having a total of 20,000 academic staff.

The multi-signed 'Against the Professors' petition affirmed, among other things, that:

> …while the Professors describe science as 'universal', they fail to acknowledge that science has long excluded indigenous peoples from participation, preferring them as subjects for study and exploitation. Diminishing the role of indigenous knowledge systems is simply another tool for exclusion and exploitation.
>
> Indigenous knowledges – in this case, Mātauranga – are not lesser to other knowledge systems. …However, Mātauranga is far more than just equivalent to or equal to 'Western' science. It offers ways of viewing the world that are unique and complementary to other knowledge systems. (Hendy et al, September 2021)

The School of Biological Sciences formally labelled as 'Unsafe' those of its members who were among the seven public 'Defenders'. Being so labelled warns students that they might be disturbed or unsettled if they enroll in the professors' courses. The undertone is that those so labelled are racists. In the light of its 'unsafe' designations, the school made adjustments to its teaching and administration duties.

The Auckland University Vice-Chancellor, Dawn Freshwater, divorced herself, and the University, from the 'Defenders of Science'. In a communication to all staff and students, she said that the 'Defenders Letter' had 'caused considerable hurt and dismay among our staff, students and alumni'. An associate communicated that the letter pointed to 'major problems with some of our colleagues'.

The Royal Society New Zealand (RSNZ) is the country's peak scientific and humanities organization and voice. Within days of *The Listener* letter being published the society's president and the chair of its academy executive committee issued a joint response:

> The recent suggestion by a group of University of Auckland academics that Mātauranga Māori is not a valid truth is utterly rejected by Royal Society Te Aparangi. The society strongly upholds the value of Mātauranga Māori and rejects the [writers'] narrow and out moded definition of science.

This statement is in stark contrast to that made thirty years earlier, and reproduced above, by the then president of the RSNZ in response to the inclusion of MM in the Draft School Curriculum. Clearly a lot will hinge on whether definitions of science are out-moded or otherwise. Good philosophy and clear thinking is central to this debate.

Shortly after the Defenders' letter, five complaints were made to the RSNZ by fellow members, asking that the Society 'take a strong stance' against three of the seven professors, who were members of the RSNZ. The five complaints were reduced to two when it was pointed out that authorship of formal complaints needed to be public. The two remaining accusers – a professor of biology and a professor of biomedical science – variously maintained some or all of the following reasons for their sanctions request:

> They expressed racist and uneducated views,

> brought the RSNZ into disrepute, did not behave with honesty, integrity and professionalism, demonstrated poor research abilities, exhibited no understanding of Mātauranga Māori and other forms of indigenous knowledge, lacked critical thinking capacity, had no insight into the ever-changing nature of science, failed to protect vulnerable people and prevent harm, negatively impacted on the mental wellbeing of researchers in the community, both indigenous and non-indigenous. and did not meet their obligations arising from the Treaty of Waitangi.

The RSNZ convened an Investigation Panel in order to ascertain whether the complaints should be forwarded to the Society's formal Complaints Committee for an appropriate punishment, which may or may not have included expulsion. There was a problem at the outset as two members of the proposed panel were among the 2,000+ signatories of the 'Against the Professors' letter. Not a good judicial look. The two were replaced.

The three defenders 'in the dock' were: Professor Garth Cooper FRSNZ, a Māori and one of the country's most prominent bio-medical researchers who had made massive contributions to understanding and addressing Māori health issues; Professor Robert Nola, an internationally known philosopher who has made considerable contributions to both philosophy of science and to science education research; and Professor Michael Corballis, a much-published and awarded neurocognitive scientist, who had published 400 papers and 14 books. All faced expulsion from the RSNZ. Sadly, Corballis died before the RSNZ Complaints Committee completed its determination. An undeserved ending to a wholly positive and productive life.

Not surprisingly, the actions of both the University of Auckland and of the RSNZ were condemned in a number of quarters inside and outside of New Zealand. But not by as many as might have been expected. Disgracefully, the bulk of NZ academics looked the other way: there was no marching in the streets, or even marching in the quadrangles.

Among the minority of brave souls who did not look away, or go out to lunch, was Christian Karl Stead, an acclaimed NZ novelist, writer, Vietnam War critic, and Emeritus Professor of English. On 21 August 2021 his letter to *The Listener* was published:

> That Jesus of Nazareth was the Son of God, born to a virgin, performed miracles in his life time and rose from the dead after crucifixion is believed by some people, disbelieved by others. That one who breaks tapu, or on whom a mākutu (curse) is placed by a tohunga, will suffer illness or even death is likewise believed by some and not by others. These are matters of faith and neither is susceptible to scientific proof or disproof.
>
> That my university should have an official position on the Divinity of Jesus would be as surprising and shocking as it is to discover, from ViceChancellor Dawn Freshwater's recent statement, that it has an official position on the efficacy of matauranga Māori in the study of science in our schools. We won't correct our colonialist mistakes by making new ones.

Many, including myself, disagree with Stead and believe that the efficacy of curses or prayers can be scientifically assessed (Fishman 2009), they are after all claims about causal processes in the world, yet one can wholeheartedly endorse his disbelief and disappointment, if not disgust, with the pusillanimous behaviour of the Vice-Chancellor, and the university more generally.

Outside New Zealand, in the USA, Jerry A. Coyne criticised these actions, and helpfully provided

documentation of NZ universities' policies that were advancing the MM programme. In the UK, Toby Young, Assistant Editor of *The Spectator* wrote a column (6 December 2021) titled: 'Why punish a scientist for defending science?' He wrote:

> In a rational world, this [Listener] letter would have been regarded as uncontroversial. Surely the argument about whether to teach schoolchildren scientific or religious explanations for the origins of the universe and the ascent of man was settled by the Scopes trial in 1925? Apart from the obvious difficulty of prioritising one religious viewpoint in an ethnically diverse society like New Zealand (what about Christianity, Islam and Hinduism?), there is the problem that Maori schoolchildren, already among the least privileged in the country, will be at an even greater disadvantage if their teachers patronise them by saying there's no need to learn the rudiments of scientific knowledge.

Richard Dawkins criticised the censure actions and rejected the arguments being advanced to support them. In his typically direct manner, he wrote to the President of the RSNZ:

> The world is full of thousands of creation myths and other colourful legends, any of which might be taught alongside Māori myths. …But no indigenous myths from anywhere in the world, no matter how poetic or hauntingly beautiful, belong in science classes. Science classes are emphatically not the place to teach scientific falsehoods alongside true science. Creationism is still bollocks even it is indigenous bollocks.

For any culture whose self-understanding and identity is tied to its creation stories and, more broadly, its ingrained and established worldview, Dawkins' charge amounts to 'fighting words'. They assuredly were for New Zealand Māori just as they would be for fundamentalist Christians, Mormons, Australian aboriginals, most Muslims, and many others tied to 'unnatural', non-evolutionary, accounts of human origins. Before Darwin, this was the worldwide, cultural default position.

To the relief of many, on 11 March 2022, the RSNZ Investigation Panel determined that no further action would be taken in respect of the above listed 13 complaints because they 'demanded open-ended evaluation of contentious expert opinions or of contested scientific evidence amongst researchers and scholars'. Case closed.

Economic Consequences

The correct, clear-headed appraisal of Mātauranga Māori has not just cultural and educational consequences, but economic ones. Consider the once-routine monitoring of river, lake and drinking water quality. Local governments would periodically test for bacteria, acidity, nutrient levels, biochemical oxygen demand (BoD), oxygen levels and sundry other, up to 22, agreed upon and measurable factors. The Taranaki Regional Council, which includes Mt Egmont and the city of New Plymouth, has for decades done this monitoring at 13 sites. But as of last year, the Mātauranga Māori notion of Mauri has been added to the determinants of water quality and will be so monitored. With national government assistance, NZD4.95M has been set aside for the 5-year task.

Initially this might sound nice, and culturally sensitive, bringing Western science and Māori spirituality together. But what is mauri? The *Te Aka Māori Dictionary* provides this definition:

> **1. (noun)** life principle, life force, vital essence, spe-

> cial nature, a material symbol of a life principle, source of emotions - the essential quality and vitality of a being or entity. Also used for a physical object, individual, ecosystem or social group in which this essence is located.

Gisborne Council defines mauri in their Tairawhiti Resource Management Plan as 'essential life force or principle, a metaphysical quality inherent in all things, both animate and inanimate'. The NZ Peak Body for Youth Development (AraTaiohi) elaborates:

> Mauri is the life spark or essence inherent in all living things that has been passed down from ancestors through whakapapa. Mauri affects and is affected by the surrounding environment. It is a motivating force and also encapsulates a process of change from Mauri moe, a state where potential is as yet unrealised; through Mauri oho, sparks of interest and the realisation that change is possible; to Mauri ora, an action-oriented stage of striving towards full potential.

Unlike the 22 generally accepted 'scientific' indices of water quality, all of which have appropriate measuring techniques and instruments, there are precisely zero techniques, much less instruments, available for measuring mauri in water or even in water environs. So, at the end of five years and with the expenditure of nearly five million NZD, how does anyone know whether mauri has gone up, down, or remained constant? And, of course, once Taranaki has succeeded in getting grant money, it would be expected that the other ten councils in the country will do the same thing. Why not test mauri levels in the waters of Otago, Southland, Auckland, and so on? There is nothing in MM to dissuade councils from seeking such funds, and indeed MM supporters, or lobby, can be expected to push for such research funds.

As close to an instrument as can be found is a recently developed mauri compass. But this is an 'instrument' in name only. The compass is no physical artifact; it is a set of conversation starters about water quality. Its developers say the talking guide is a way to discuss the mauri of a specific waterbody. It takes into account 12 different attributes, moving through tangata (people), tane (land) and tangaroa (sea) values, while including both Maori and Western science views. The attributes include tangata whenua, tikanga, wairua (spirit or soul), mahinga kai (food gathering), habitat, biodiversity, biology, chemistry, fish species, abundance, fish health and growth rates. The developers say:

> We are not trying to define mauri. But it [the compass] is a tool to help people articulate it, a good conversation starter with trigger questions for conversations with people around their waterways.

Such conversations do no harm and can do some good, but the process is a long way from scientific measurement. Feng Shui consultants charge money for ascertaining that a dwelling near water, in the sun, protected from wind, not overlooking a cemetery has good chi (Matthews 2019, chap.4). Manifestly, the chi appellation does not add anything to what is already known. Such a dwelling will be pleasant to live in. Indicators are that mauri is in the same situation.

It is a relatively easy task to show that mauri is in the same non-scientific league as Eastern, and increasingly Western, *chi* beliefs (Matthews 2019). And as with *chi*, the ever-present danger is that mauri commitment becomes pseudoscientific; an accessory for hucksters and rent seekers. 'Life sparks', 'life forces', and 'living essences' have all the hallmarks of well known, and discredited, Vitalism in the history of science.

As well as direct costs involved in monitoring mauri, there are oft-ignored 'lost opportunity costs'. What else in the Taranaki Council area could NZD4.95M be spent on: Women's shelters? Public housing? Community transport? Infant health clinics? Expanded library service? These are unfunded while a fantasy is pursued.

Projected nationally, lost opportunity costs are sobering. One claim is that more university research funds have gone into researching MM than have gone into clinical medicine or engineering research (David English, *The Listener* 28 August 2021). There are also immense lost education costs.

Integration or Separation?

The seemingly attractive option of integrating MM and science, bringing the former into the science programme, and teaching it as science is, on examination, not so attractive.

The ontology of many ethnoknowledge systems, including MM, include non- material, active, non-lawful entities such as angels, spirits, jinn, devils and the like. These irregularly intervene in the world, possess people, cause and cure illnesses, speak from 'the other side', respond to prayers and entreaties, and so on. The spirit world is omnipresent and active in most traditional worldviews (and a good many Western ones). Such ontologies allow for animism wherein trees, rocks and landforms are animate, they have their own spirits, life forces and, for some, consciousness. Such an ontology cannot be stirred together with scientific ontology. The latter is not materialist, but it must be naturalist.

During my 1992-93 period in Auckland, a Māori couple were charged and convicted of grievous harm to their son. They had gauged his eyes out with a spoon. He was epileptic and they believed his spasms were being caused by an evil spirit that had possessed him. In their understanding of MM, such bad spirits can only leave through the eyes. Such spirit-based understanding of epilepsy was the historic norm right through to the nineteenth century. In many cultures untouched by science, it is still the norm. The issue for champions of MM is how to determine whether the boy was, or was not, possessed by a spirit?

Merely asking the question suffices to show it cannot be unambiguously answered within MM. Either a YES or NO answer can be accepted or rejected; there is no way to settle the matter. In a naturalist worldview, there simply are no spirits causally active in the world whether they be good or bad (Shimony 1993a,b). So natural, scientific explanations of epilepsy (and everything else) need be found.

Epistemologies vary between TEKs with some having a frankly authoritarian epistemology: Truth is sought in sacred books or Scriptures, in the tradition of cultural teaching, and in the judgement of elders or authority figures. And where this does not happen, there is an over reliance on empiricism, on how things look, as the determiner of truth. Measurement, and conducting controlled experiments, is not a priority.

Joseph Needham (1900-1995) famously wrote in his 24-volume study of *Science and Civilisation in China* (Needham 1954-2004) that although China had unmatched technologies, at least two-thousand years of recorded observations, and trial and error procedures across a multitude of domestic, commercial, and industrial practices including pottery, ceramics, iron making, canal building and much more – China had no science

(Matthews 2019, chap.10). It had technics and advanced technology, but not science (Needham 1969, chap.1). For Needham, science was defined by:

> The application of mathematical hypotheses to Nature, the full understanding and use of the experimental method, the distinction between primary and secondary qualities, the geometrization of space, and the acceptance of the mechanical model of reality. Hypotheses of primitive or medieval type distinguish themselves quite clearly from those of modern type. Their intrinsic and essential vagueness always made them incapable of proof or disproof, and they were prone to combine in fanciful systems of gnostic correlation. (Needham 1969, p.15)



Needham's arguments about historic Chinese science, or lack thereof, can equally be made about Mātauranga Māori.

With good reason, Simon Winchester's biography of Needham was titled: *The Man Who Loved China* (Winchester 2008). Needham was a Fellow of the Royal Society yet his clear-headed, exhaustively-informed, publicly-stated views on the failure of China to develop modern science – were never thought to be grounds for taking 'staunch action' against him or charging him with racism. Those who brought charges against the three Auckland 'defenders of science' would have done well to learn from the Needham case.

Conclusion

Charbel El-Hani, a Brazilian biologist and philosopher of science who for decades has worked with a NE Brazilian traditional fishing community documenting their native knowledge and practices, comprehensively addressed this matter in a co-authored paper aptly titled 'Valuing indigenous

knowledge: To call it "science" will not help' (El-Hani & de Ferreira Bandeira 2008). The 2,000+ signatories of the 'Against the Professors' letter would have done well to have read the paper.

There are educational, cultural, ethical, and political reasons for the teaching and learning of local ethnosciences. But these reasons are all independent of the scientificity, or otherwise, of Māori or any other ethnoscience. The placement of ethnosciences in the school or university science programme depends upon confusing the first sets of reasons with scientificity. Indigenous knowledge systems or, more loosely, ways of knowing can be respected, championed, and learnt from without them needing to be called 'science'. Much less deemed the equivalent of science. This should be a simple matter to understand, but the influence of constructivism in NZ education and philosophy, and the extension of post modernism in so many academic and cultural areas, has meant that this simple point has not been widely understood.

In New Zealand there are, additionally, legal reasons for promotion of Mātauranga Māori: As previously mentioned, the 1840 Treaty of Waitangi, signed between the British Crown and Māori chieftains, required, on one reading, that the new British country being established 'maintain and protect Māori culture and beliefs' (Palmer 2008). How the Treaty obligations bear upon science is vexing question. It cannot protect all purported MM beliefs and claims. It did not protect the parents in the sad epilepsy case referred to above. As so much of MM its ontology, epistemology, methodology and institutionalised structure, or lack thereof, is inconsistent with science, there is, to put it mildly, a tension between the protection of MM and the promotion of science. Often, recognition of tension is the precursor to growth and development. Hopefully this will be the case in New Zealand.

References

Bell, B.F.: 1986, 'The Form 1-5 Science Review - Effecting Change', *New Zealand Science Teacher* 48, 6-9.

Bell, B.F.: 1990, *Draft Forms 1-5 Science Syllabus for Schools*, Ministry of Education, Wellington.

Bell, B.F.: 1991, 'A Constructivist View of Learning and the Draft Forms 1-5 Science Syllabus', *SAME Papers 1991*, 154-180.

Broughton, D. & McBreen, K.: 2015, 'Mātauranga Māori, Tino Rangatiratanga and the Future of New Zealand Science', Journal of the Royal Society of New Zealand. 45: 83-88. doi:10.1080/03036758.2015.1011171

Brown, J.R.: 2001, *Who Rules in Science: An Opinionated Guide to the Science Wars*, Harvard University Press, Cambridge MA.

Corballis, M., Rata, E. & Nola, R.: 2020, 'The Defence of Science and the Status of Māori Knowledge' History, *Philosophy and Science Teaching Newsletter*, January 2020.

Dobson, P.: 1989, 'Science Education in NZ Appalling, says Professor', *Otago Daily Times*, 1st August.

Dodd, J.N.: 1991, *Atoms and Light: Interactions*, Springer, Dordrech.

Don, W.: 1989, 'Comments on the Draft Syllabus for Schools, Forms 1-5 Science', Department of Zoology, University of Otago.

El-Hani, C.N. & de Ferreira Bandeira, F.P.S.: 2008,

'Valuing indigenous knowledge: To call it "science" will not help', *Cultural Studies of Science Education* 3, 751-779.

Fishman, Y.I.: 2009, 'Can Science Test Supernatural Worldviews?', *Science & Education* 18(6-7), 813-837.

Jackson, A.-M. and others: 2020, 'Towards building an Indigenous Science Tertiary Curriculum (Part 2)', *New Zealand Science Review* 76(1-2), 25-31.

Lipson, B.: 2019, New Zealand's Education Delusion: How Bad Ideas Ruined a Once World-Leading School System, *The New Zealand Initiative*, Wellington.

Matthews, M.R.: 1995, *Challenging New Zealand Science Education*, Dunmore Press, Palmerston North.

Matthews, M.R.: 2015, *Science Teaching: The Contribution of History and Philosophy of Science: 20th Anniversary Revised and Enlarged Edition*, Routledge, New York.

Matthews, M.R.: 2019, *Feng Shui: Teaching About Science and Pseudoscience*, Springer, Dordrecht.

Matthews, M.R.: 2021, *History, Philosophy and Science Teaching: A Personal Story,* Dordrecht, Springer.

McComas, W.F. (ed.): 2020a, *Nature of Science in Science Instruction: Rationales and Strategies*, Springer, Dordrecht.

McIntyre, L.C.: 2018, *Post-truth*, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.

Merton, R.K.: 1942/1973, 'The Normative Structure of Science'. In his *The Sociology of Science: Theoretical and Empirical Investigations* (N.W. Storer, ed.), University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1973, pp. 267-280.

Needham, J.: 1969, *The Grand Titration: Science and Society in East and West*, Allen & Unwin, London.

Nola, R., Clements, K., Cooper, G., Corballis, M., Elliffe, D., Werry, J. & Rata, E.: 2021, 'In Defence of Science', *New Zealand Listener*, July 31.

Palmer, M.: 2008, *The Treaty of Waitangi in New Zealand's Law and Constitution*, Victoria University of Wellington Press, Wellington.

Piper, A.: 2012, 'New Zealand Colonial Propaganda: The Use of Cannibalism, Enslavement, Genocide and Myth to Legitimise Colonial Conquest', *Australian Folklore* 27, 37-59.

Shimony, A.: 1993a, *Search for a Naturalistic World View Vol.I Scientific Method and Epistemology*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Shimony, A.: 1993b, *Search for a Naturalistic World View Vol.II Natural Sciences and Metaphysics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Smith, G. H.: 1992, 'Kura Kaupapa Maori Schooling: Implications for the Teaching of Science in New Zealand', unpublished paper, Education Department, University of Auckland.

Stewart, G.T.: 2019, Mātauranga and Pūtaiao: The question of 'Māori science'. *New Zealand Science Review*, 75(4), 65-68.

Winchester, S.: 2008, *The Man Who Loved China: The Fantastic Story of the Eccentric Scientist*

who Unlocked the Mysteries of the Middle Kingdom, HarperCollins, New York.

Invitation to Submit Opinion Piece

In order to make better educational use of the wide geographical and disciplinary reach of this HPS&ST NEWSLETTER, invitations are extended for readers to contribute opinion or position pieces or suggestions about any aspect of the past, present or future of HPS&ST studies.

Contributions can be sent direct to Michael Matthews or Nathan Oseroff-Spicer.

Ideally, they might be pieces that are already on the web, in which case a few paragraphs introduction, with link to web site can be sent, or else the pieces will be put on the web with a link given in the NEWSLETTER.

They will be archived in the OPINION folder at the HPS&ST web site: http://www.hpsst.com/.

Varia

- Fourth International Conference of the German Society for Philosophy of Science

  The Fourth International Conference of the German Society for Philosophy of Science (GWP.2022), which was originally scheduled for March 2022, will **now take place from 15th-17 of August 2022**, at Technische Universität Berlin. The line-up of talks and contributed papers/symposia remains unchanged (no new CfP will be necessary). For more information, see https://www.wissphil.de/gwp2022/

- *Science & Education* journal currently has 73 HPS&ST articles available gratis as Open Access. These can be seen and individually downloaded here.

- *History, Philosophy and Science Teaching: A Personal Story* review is available as a pdf file here.

PhD Awards in HPS&ST

We welcome publishing details of all PhDs awarded in the field of textschps&st. Send details (name, title, abstract, supervisor) to editor: m.matthews@unsw.edu.au.

PhD Theses in HPS&ST Domain

The HPS&ST NEWSLETTER is the ideal medium for publicising and making known submitted and awarded doctoral theses in the HPS&ST domain.

The following details should be submitted to the editor at m.matthews@unsw.edu.au:

- Candidate's name and email
- Institution
- Supervisor
- Thesis title
- Abstract of 100-300 words
- Web link when theses are required to be submitted for open search on web.

Recent HPS&ST Research Articles

Blackie, M.A.L.(2022). Knowledge building in chemistry education. *Found Chem*, 1-15. doi:10.1007/s10698-022-09419-w online first

Cetinkaya, E., Saribas, D. (2022). Facilitating Middle School Students' Reasoning About Vaccines. *Sci & Educ*, 1-20. doi:10.1007/s11191-021-00318-8 online first

Ferreira, L.M., Weiss, J.P. & Lambach, M. (2022). Disparities and conceptual connections regarding the concept of substance in general chemistry textbook glossaries. *Found Chem*, 1-17. doi:10.1007/s10698-022-09425-y online first

Grüne-Yanoff, T. (2022). Overcoming Frege's curse: heuristic reasoning as the basis for teaching philosophy of science to scientists. *Euro Jnl Phil Sci* 12, 22. doi:10.1007/s13194-022-00452-2

Kohler, R. (2022). Lords of the Fly Revisited. *J Hist Biol*, 1-5. doi:10.1007/s10739-022-09671-y online first

Muğaloğlu, E.Z., Kaymaz, Z., Mısır, M.E. et al. (2022). Exploring the Role of Trust in Scientists to Explain Health-Related Behaviors in Response to the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Sci & Educ*, 1-29. doi:10.1007/s11191-022-00323-5 online first

Nation, M.T., Feldman, A. (2022). Climate Change and Political Controversy in the Science Classroom. *Sci & Educ*, 1-17. doi:10.1007/s11191-022-00330-6 online first

Odenwald, J. (2022). Ethologists in the Kindergarten: Natural Behavior, Social Rank, and the Search for the "Innate" in Early Human Ethology (1960s-1970s). *Ber. Wissenschaftsgesch*. 1-25. doi:10.1002/bewi.202100022 online first

Özer, F., Sarıbaş, D. (2022).Exploring Pre-service Science Teachers' Understanding of Scientific Inquiry and Scientific Practices Through a Laboratory Course. *Sci & Educ*, 1-34. doi:10.1007/s11191-022-00325-3 online first

Peters-Burton, E.E., Dagher, Z.R. & Erduran, S. (2022). Student, Teacher, and Scientist Views of the Scientific Enterprise: An Epistemic Network Re-analysis. *Int J of Sci and Math Educ*, 1-29. doi:10.1007/s10763-022-10254-w online first

Ruse, M. (2022). Evolution and ethics viewed from within two metaphors: machine and organism. *HPLS*, 1-17. doi:10.1007/s40656-022-00482-2 online first

Schwarz, S. (2022). Drama around a Wartime Heisenberg Letter. *Phys. Perspect.* 1-21. doi:10.1007/s00016-021-00285-1 online first

Smith, D.V. (2022). The Problem of Trust Without Intimacy: Education for Handling Expert Knowledge in a Neoliberal Marketplace. *Sci & Educ*, 1-26. doi:10.1007/s11191-022-00329-z

Soysal, Y. (2022). Quality Indicators of an Experienced Middle School Science Teacher's Argument-Based Inquiry Teaching. *Sci & Educ*, 1-48. doi:10.1007/s11191-022-00327-1 online first

Talpsepp, E. (2022). Interview with Eric Scerri. *Found Chem*, 1-11. doi:10.1007/s10698-022-09418-x online first

Wray, K.B. (2022). What happened when chemists came to classify elements by their atomic num-

ber?. *Found Chem*, 1-10. doi:10.1007/s10698-022-09423-0

Waight, N., Kayumova, S., Tripp, J. et al. (2022). Towards Equitable, Social Justice Criticality: Re-Constructing the "Black" Box and Making it Transparent for the Future of Science and Technology in Science Education. *Sci & Educ*, 1-23. doi:10.1007/s11191-022-00328-0 online first

Recent HPS&ST Related Books

Bengson, J., Cuneo, T., & Shafer-Landau, R. (2022). *Philosophical Methodology: From Data to Theory*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press. ISBN: 978-0-192-86246-4

"*Philosophical Methodology* is a book addressed to the entire philosophical community. It develops a novel account of the structure and goals of inquiry, offers the first systematic discussion of philosophical data, and assesses extant philosophical methods. Introducing a new method for doing philosophy, it positions theorists to better understand their topics while also revealing how philosophy can continue to make progress in answering its foremost questions." (From the Publisher)

More information available here.

Bensaude-Vincent, B., Boudia, S., & Sato, K. (Eds.) (2022). *Living in a Nuclear World: From Fukushima to Hiroshima*. Abingdon: Routledge. ISBN: 978-1-032-13063-7

"The Fukushima disaster invites us to look back and probe how nuclear technology has shaped the world we live in, and how we have come to live with it. Since the first nuclear detonation (Trinity test) and the bombings of Hiroshima and Nagasaki, all in 1945, nuclear technology has profoundly affected world history and geopolitics, as well as our daily life and natural world. It has always been an instrument for national security, a marker of national sovereignty, a site of technological innovation and a promise of energy abundance. It has also introduced permanent pollution and the age of the Anthropocene. This volume presents a new perspective on nuclear history and politics by focusing on four interconnected themes–violence and survival; control and containment; normalising through denial and presumptions; memories and futures–and exploring their relationships and consequences. It proposes an original reflection on nuclear technology from a long-term, comparative and transnational perspective. It brings together contributions from researchers from different disciplines (anthropology, history, STS) and countries (US, France, Japan) on a variety of local, national and transnational subjects. Finally, this book offers an important and valuable insight into other global and Anthropocene challenges such as climate change." (From the Publisher)

More information available here.

Bruderer, Herbert (2020) *Milestones in Analog and Digital Computing*. Springer Nature Switzerland AG, Cham, 3rd edition.
ISBN: 978-3-030-40974-6

"This Third Edition is the first English-language edition of the award-winning Meilensteine der Rechentechnik; illustrated in full color throughout in two volumes. The Third Edition is devoted to both analog and digital computing devices, as well as the world's most magnificient historical automatons and select scientific instruments (employed in astronomy, surveying, time measurement, etc.). It also features detailed instructions for analog and digital mechanical calculating machines and instru-

ments, and is the only such historical book with comprehensive technical glossaries of terms not found in print or in online dictionaries. The book also includes a very extensive bibliography based on the literature of numerous countries around the world.

"Meticulously researched, the author conducted a worldwide survey of science, technology and art museums with their main holdings of analog and digital calculating and computing machines and devices, historical automatons and selected scientific instruments in order to describe a broad range of masterful technical achievements. Also covering the history of mathematics and computer science, this work documents the cultural heritage of technology as well."

More information available here.

The German editions are:

Bruderer, Herbert (2020a). *Meilensteine der Rechentechnik*, De Gruyter Oldenbourg, Berlin/Boston, 3. Auflage 2020, Band 1, 970 Seiten, 577 Abbildungen, 114 Tabellen, https://www.degruyter.com/view/title/567028?rskey=xoRERF&result=7

Bruderer, Herbert (2020b). *Meilensteine der Rechentechnik*, De Gruyter Oldenbourg, Berlin/Boston, 3. Auflage 2020, Band 2, 1055 Seiten, 138 Abbildungen, 37 Tabellen, https://www.degruyter.com/view/title/567221?rskey=A8Y4Gb&result=4

Damböck, C., & Tuboly, A.T. (Eds.) (2022). *The Socio-Ethical Dimension of Knowledge: The Mission of Logical Empiricism*. Springer: Cham. ISBN: 978-3-030-80363-6

"This book studies how the relationship between philosophy, morality, politics, and science was conceived in the Vienna Circle and how this group of philosophers tried to position science as an antidote to totalitarianism and irrationalism. This leads to investigation of the still understudied views of the Vienna Circle on moral philosophy, meta-ethics, and the relationship between philosophy of science and politics. Including papers from an international group of scholars, *The Socio-ethical Dimension of Knowledge: The Mission of Logical Empiricism* addresses these topics and makes them available to scholars in the field of history of philosophy of science." (From the Publisher)

More information available here.

Davis, A.M., Teixeira, M.-T., & Schwartz, A. (Eds.) (2022). *Process Cosmology: New Integrations in Science and Philosophy*. London, UK: Palgrave Macmillan. ISBN: 978-3-030-81396-3

"This book newly articulates the international and interdisciplinary reach of Whitehead's organic process cosmology for a variety of topics across science and philosophy, and in dialogue with a variety historical and contemporary voices. Integrating Whitehead's thought with the insights of Bergson, James, Pierce, Merleau-Ponty, Descola, Fuchs, Hofmann, Grof and many others, contributors from around the world reveal the relevance of process philosophy to physics, cosmology, astrobiology, ecology, metaphysics, aesthetics, psychedelics, and religion. A global collection, this book expresses multivocal possibilities for the development of process cosmology after Whitehead." (From the Publisher)

More information available here.

Gaukroger, S. (2022). *Civilization and the Culture of Science: Science and the Shaping of Modernity,*

1795-1935. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press. ISBN: 978-0-192-86628-8 [New in Paperback]

"How did science come to have such a central place in Western culture? How did cognitive values—and subsequently moral, political, and social ones—come to be modelled around scientific values? In *Civilization and the Culture of Science*, Stephen Gaukroger explores how these values were shaped and how they began, in turn, to shape those of society. The core nineteenth- and twentieth-century development is that in which science comes to take centre stage in determining ideas of civilisation, displacing Christianity in this role. Christianity had provided a unifying thread in the study of the world, however, and science had to match this, which it did through the project of the unity of the sciences. The standing of science came to rest or fall on this question, which the book sets out to show in detail is essentially ideological, not something that arose from developments within the sciences, which remained pluralistic and modular. A crucial ingredient in this process was a fundamental rethinking of the relations between science and ethics, economics, philosophy, and engineering. In his engaging description of this transition to a scientific modernity, Gaukroger examines five of the issues which underpinned this shift in detail: changes in the understanding of civilisation; the push to unify the sciences; the rise of the idea of the limits of scientific understanding; the concepts of 'applied' and 'popular' science; and the way in which the public was shaped in a scientific image." (From the Publisher)

More information available here.

Harold, F. M. (2022). On Life: Cells, Genes, and the Evolution of Complexity. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press. ISBN: 978-0-197-60454-0

"All creatures, from bacteria and redwoods to garden snails and humans, belong to a single biochemical family. We all operate by the same principles and are all made up of cells, either one or many. We flaunt capacities that far exceed those of inanimate matter, yet we stand squarely within the material world. So what is life, anyway? How do living things function, and how did they come into existence? Questions like these have baffled philosophers and scientists since antiquity, but over the past half-century answers have begun to emerge.

"Offering an inside look, Franklin M. Harold makes life accessible to readers interested in the biological big picture. The book traces how living things operate, focusing on the interplay of biology with physics and chemistry. He asserts that biology stands apart from the physical sciences because life revolves around organisation— that is, purposeful order.

"*On Life* aims to make life intelligible by giving readers an understanding of the biological landscape; it sketches the principles as biologists presently understand them and highlights major unresolved issues. What emerges is a biology bracketed by two stubborn mysteries: the nature of the mind and the origin of life. This portrait of biology is comprehensible but inescapably complex, internally consistent, and buttressed by a wealth of factual knowledge." (From the Publisher)

More information available here.

Massimi, M. (2022). Perspectival Realism. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
ISBN: 978-0-197-55562-0 [Open Access]

"What does it mean to be a realist about science if one takes seriously the view that scientific knowledge is always perspectival, namely historically and culturally situated? In *Perspectival Realism*, Michela Massimi explores how scientific knowledge grows and evolves thanks to a plurality of epistemic communities occupying a number of scientific perspect-

ives. The result is a philosophical view that goes under the name of "perspectival realism", and it offers a new lens for thinking about scientific knowledge, realism and pluralism in science.

"Perspectival Realism begins with an exploration of how epistemic communities often resort to several models and a plurality of practices, drawing on examples from nuclear physics, climate science, and developmental psychology. Massimi explains the perspectival nature of scientific representation, the role of scientific models as inferential blueprints, and the variety of scientific realism that naturally accompanies such a view. Perspectival realism is realism about phenomena (rather than about theories or unobservable entities). This novel realist view places epistemic communities and their situated knowledge centre stage. The result is a portrait of scientific knowledge as a collaborative inquiry, where the reliability of science is made possible by a plurality of historically and culturally situated scientific perspectives. Along the way, Massimi offers insight into the nature of scientific modelling, scientific knowledge qua modal knowledge, data-to-phenomena inferences, and natural kinds as sortal concepts.

"*Perspectival Realism* offers a realist view that takes the multicultural nature of science seriously and couples it with cosmopolitan duties about how one ought to think about scientific knowledge and the distribution of benefits gained from scientific advancements." (From the Publisher)

More information available here.

Miedema, F. (2022). Open Science: the Very Idea. Springer, Dordrecht. ISBN: 978-9-402-42117-0

"This open access book provides a broad context for the understanding of current problems of science and of the different movements aiming to improve the societal impact of science and research.

"The author offers insights with regard to ideas, old and new, about science, and their historical origins in philosophy and sociology of science, which is of interest to a broad readership. The book shows that scientifically grounded knowledge is required and helpful in understanding intellectual and political positions in various discussions on the grand challenges of our time and how science makes impact on society. The book reveals why interventions that look good or even obvious, are often met with resistance and are hard to realise in practice.

"Based on a thorough analysis, as well as personal experiences in aids research, university administration and as a science observer, the author provides - while being totally open regarding science's limitations- a realistic narrative about how research is conducted, and how reliable 'objective' knowledge is produced. His idea of science, which draws heavily on American pragmatism, fits in with the global Open Science movement. It is argued that Open Science is a truly and historically unique movement in that it translates the analysis of the problems of science into major institutional actions of system change in order to improve academic culture and the impact of science, engaging all actors in the field of science and academia." (From the Publisher)

More information available here.

Plutynski, A. (2022). Explaining Cancer: Finding Order in Disorder. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press. ISBN: 978-0-197-64250-4 [New in Paperback]

"In *Explaining Cancer*, Anya Plutynski addresses a variety of philosophical questions that arise in the context of cancer science and medicine. She begins with the following concerns:

- How do scientists classify cancer? Do these classifications reflect nature's "joints"?

- How do cancer scientists identify and classify early stage cancers?
- What does it mean to say that cancer is a "genetic" disease? What role do genes play in "mechanisms for" cancer?
- What are the most important environmental causes of cancer, and how do epidemiologists investigate these causes?
- How exactly has our evolutionary history made us vulnerable to cancer?

"*Explaining Cancer* uses these questions as an entrée into a family of philosophical debates. It uses case studies of scientific practice to reframe philosophical debates about natural classification in science and medicine, the problem of drawing the line between disease and health, mechanistic reasoning in science, pragmatics and evidence, the roles of models and modeling in science, and the nature of scientific explanation." (From the Publisher)

More information available here.

Poskett, P. (2022). *Horizons: The Global Origins of Modern Science*. New York, NY: Harper Collins Publishers. ISBN: 978-0-358-25179-8

"When we think about the origins of modern science we usually begin in Europe. We remember the great minds of Nicolaus Copernicus, Isaac Newton, Charles Darwin, and Albert Einstein. But the history of science is not, and has never been, a uniquely European endeavour. Copernicus relied on mathematical techniques that came from Arabic and Persian texts. Newton's laws of motion used astronomical observations made in Asia and Africa. When Darwin was writing *On the Origin of Species*, he consulted a sixteenth-century Chinese encyclopedia. And when Einstein studied quantum mechanics, he was inspired by the Bengali physicist, Satyendra Nath Bose.

"Horizons is the history of science as it has never been told before, uncovering its unsung heroes and revealing that the most important scientific breakthroughs have come from the exchange of ideas from different cultures around the world. In this ambitious, revelatory history, James Poskett recasts the history of science, uncovering the vital contributions that scientists in Africa, America, Asia, and the Pacific have made to this global story." (From the Publisher)

More information available here.

Shagrir, O. (2022). *The Nature of Physical Computation*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press. ISBN: 978-0-197-55238-4

"Computing systems are ubiquitous in contemporary life. Even the brain is thought to be a computing system of sorts. But what does it mean to say that an organ or a system computes? What is it about laptops, smartphones, and nervous systems that they are deemed to compute—and why does it seldom occur to us to describe stomachs, hurricanes, rocks, or chairs that way? These questions are key to the conceptual foundations of computational sciences, including computer science and engineering, and the cognitive and neural sciences.

"Oron Shagrir here provides an extended argument for the semantic view of computation, which states that semantic properties are involved in the nature of computing systems. The first part of the book provides general background. Although different in scope, these chapters have a common theme—that the linkage between the mathematical theory of computability and the notion of physical computation is weak. The second part of the book reviews existing non-semantic accounts of physical computation. Shagrir offers an in-depth analysis of three influential accounts, and argues that none of these accounts is satisfactory, but each of them highlights certain key features of physical computation that he

eventually entwines into his own account of computation. The last part of the book presents and defends an original semantic account of physical computation, with a phenomenon known as 'simultaneous implementation' (or 'indeterminacy of computation') at its core." (From the Publisher)

More information available here.

Tănăsescu, M. (2022). *Understanding the Rights of Nature: A Critical Introduction*. New York, NY: Columbia University Press.
ISBN: 978-3-837-65431-8

"Rivers, landscapes, whole territories: these are the latest entities environmental activists have fought hard to include in the relentless expansion of rights in our world. But what does it mean for a landscape to have rights? Why would anyone want to create such rights, and to what end? Is it a good idea, and does it come with risks? This book presents the logic behind giving nature rights and discusses the most important cases in which this has happened, ranging from constitutional rights of nature in Ecuador to rights for rivers in New Zealand, Colombia, and India. Mihnea Tanasescu offers clear answers to the thorny questions that the intrusion of nature into law is sure to raise." (From the Publisher)

More information available here.

Thomas, J. (Ed.). (2022). *Altered Earth: Getting the Anthropocene Right*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. ISBN: 978-1-009-04236-9

"*Altered Earth* aims to get the Anthropocene right in three senses. With essays by leading scientists, it highlights the growing consensus that our planet entered a dangerous new state in the mid-twentieth century. Second, it gets the Anthropocene right in human terms, bringing together a range of leading authors to explore, in fiction and non-fiction, our deep past, global conquest, inequality, nuclear disasters, and space travel. Finally, this landmark collection presents what hope might look like in this seemingly hopeless situation, proposing new political forms and mutualistic cities. 'Right' in this book means being as accurate as possible in describing the physical phenomenon of the Anthropocene; as balanced as possible in weighing the complex human developments, some willed and some unintended, that led to this predicament; and as just as possible in envisioning potential futures." (From the Publisher)

More information available here.

Verburgt, L. M. (2022). *John Venn: Unpublished Writings and Selected Correspondence*. Springer: Cham. ISBN: 978-3-030-79828-4

"This is the first book to present a carefully chosen and annotated selection of the unpublished writings and correspondence of the English logician John Venn (1834-1923). Today remembered mainly as the inventor of the famous diagram that bears his name, Venn was an important figure of nineteenth-century Cambridge, where he worked alongside leading thinkers, such as Henry Sidgwick and Alfred Marshall, on the development of the Moral Sciences Tripos. Venn published three influential textbooks on logic, contributed some dozen articles to the then newly-established journal *Mind*, of which he became co-editor in 1892, and counted F.W. Maitland, William Cunningham and Arthur Balfour among his pupils. After his active career as a logician, which ended around the turn of the 20th century, Venn reinvented himself as a biographer of his University, College and family. Together with his son, he worked on the massive Alumni Cantabrigienses, which is still used today as a standard reference source.

"The material presented here, including the 100-page *Annals: Autobiographical Sketch*, provides much new information on Venn's philosophical development and Cambridge in the 1850s-60s. It also brings to light Venn's relation with famous colleagues and friends, such as Leslie Stephen, Francis Galton, and William Stanley Jevons, thereby placing him at the heart of Victorian intellectual life." (From the Publisher)

More information available here.

Coming HPS&ST Related Conferences

July 3rd-7th, 2022, IHPST 16th International Conference, University of Calgary, Canada
Details from Glenn Dolphin:
glenn.dolphin@ucalgary.ca.

July 18-22, 2022, 'Objects of Understanding: Historical Perspectives on Material Artefacts in Science Education', Europa-Universität Flensburg, Germany
Details: Roland Wittje, roland.wittje@gmail.com and here.

July 24-29, 2023, 17th DLMPST Congress, University of Buenos Aires
Information: Pablo Lorenzano, pablo@unq.edu.ar.

HPS&ST Related Organisations and Websites

IUHPST – International Union of History, Philosophy, Science, and Technology

DLMPST – Division of Logic, Mathematics, Philosophy, Science, and Technology

DHST – Division of History, Science, and Technology

IHPST – International History, Philosophy, and Science Teaching Group

NARST – National Association for Research in Science Teaching

ESERA – European Science Education Research Association

ASERA – Australasian Science Education Research Association

ICASE – International Council of Associations for Science Education

UNESCO – Education

HSS – History of Science Society

ESHS – European Society for the History of Science

AHA – American History Association

ISHEASTME – International Society for the History of East Asian History of Science Technology and Medicine

BSHS – British Society for History of Science

EPSA – European Philosophy of Science Association

AAHPSSS - The Australasian Association for the History, Philosophy, and Social Studies of Science

HOPOS – International Society for the History of Philosophy of Science

PSA – Philosophy of Science Association

BSPS – The British Society for the Philosophy of Science

SPSP – The Society for Philosophy of Science in Practice

ISHPSB – The International Society for the History, Philosophy, and Social Studies of Biology

PES – The Philosophy of Education Society (USA)

The above list is updated and kept on the HPS&ST website HERE.

HPS&ST-related organisations wishing their web page to be added to the list should contact assistant editor Paulo Maurício (paulo.asterix@gmail.com)

The NEWSLETTER is typeset in XeLaTeX.
The font is Minion Pro.
The cover image is used with permission from https://pixabay.cofm/, free for commercial use.